

Improving Lung Cancer Outcomes Project

Dr Ian Woolhouse
Clinical Director ILCOP
Associate Director NLCA



Royal College
of Physicians



Setting higher standards

ILCOP aims


- Improve survival
- Improve patient experience

- Understand the reason for variation
- Raise the standard of all to the best




ILCOP methods

Multicentre randomised control trial



ILCOP timelines



Beckett et al. *Clinical Medicine*. 2012;12:1



ILCOP outcomes & evaluation

- Local changes with measurements
- Patient experience
- National Lung Cancer Audit – Q3/2012
- Independent qualitative assessment



ILCOP outcomes & evaluation


- Local changes with measurements
- Patient experience
- National Lung Cancer Audit – Q3/2012
- Independent qualitative assessment



Sherwood Forest

Plan 3: To ensure that histological diagnosis is sought for all appropriate patients, with consequent increase in active treatment


Histological Confirmation Rate



Sherwood Forest

Plan 3: To ensure that histological diagnosis is sought for all appropriate patients, with consequent increase in active treatment


Active treatment rates



West Suffolk

Plan 1: Ensure that small cell lung cancer patients have the earliest possible opportunity to meet with an oncologist and be considered for treatment


Waiting time from MDT to treatment



West Suffolk


Plan 1: Ensure that small cell lung cancer patients have the earliest possible opportunity to meet with an oncologist and be considered for treatment

SCLC chemotherapy rates



Basingstoke

Plan 4: Aim: Improve patient experience through improved communication with patients




ILCOP outcomes & evaluation

- Local changes with measurements
- Patient experience
- National Lung Cancer Audit – Q3/2012
- Independent qualitative assessment



Questionnaire scores


Chart 2.1 Survey waves 1 and 3 mean Total Patient Experience Scores, by team




*Total score is out of a possible 11 (higher scores suggest worse patient experience)



Anything good about care received?



Anything that could have been improved?



ILCOP outcomes & evaluation

- Local changes with measurements
- Patient experience
- National Lung Cancer Audit – Q3/2012
- Independent qualitative assessment



ILCOP outcomes & evaluation

- Local changes with measurements
- Patient experience
- National Lung Cancer Audit – Q3/2012
- Independent qualitative assessment



“Very helpful reflection methodology”

“Much better and more appropriate than traditional peer review”

“Very informative about ideas and how to take things forward”

“Very useful in finding areas of improvement”



Summary

- Reciprocal peer to peer review
 - Feasible
 - Powerful stimulus to improve
 - Examples of local measurement
 - Very well accepted
- Future integration into NCPR?



Booklet

