

Public Health England

**Polypectomy and Laparoscopic Resection for Colorectal Cancer** in the Backdrop of Screening: A Regional Perspective Tim Evans, Mei-Ju Hwang, Sharad Karandikar and Gill Lawrence

NCIN Cancer Outcomes Conference, Brighton, June 2013

# INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic surgery and advances in colonoscopy have impacted on conventional open surgery for colorectal cancer (CRC). The NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme was phased in from 2006 across the West Midlands. The aim of this study was to analyse the trends in minimally invasive procedures (polypectomy and laparoscopic intervention) in the screening age group (60-69 years).

### METHODS

A cohort of patients aged 60 to 69 years who underwent surgical intervention for CRC between 1998 and 2010 was obtained from the West Midlands cancer registration database (n=8,400). Emergency interventions (n=1,418) and cases with no surgery on HES or not matched to HES (n=375) were excluded resulting in a final cohort of 6,607 cases.

Trends were observed, and comparisons made between patients with screen-detected and symptomatic CRC post

screening (2006 – 2010). Chi-squared statistics for testing observed trends and associated impacts were calculated.

#### RESULTS

		Study	cohort		
Presentation type				Presentation type	
Screen-detected Symptomatic Number				Screen-detected	Symptomatic Number
Variable	Number (%)	(%)	Variable	Number (%)	(%)
All patients	578	6,029	Anatomical site		
Gender			RC & TC^	129 (22)	1,503 (25)
Male^	384 (66)	3,739 (62)	LC	274 (47)	1,962 (33)
Female	194 (34)	2,290 (38)	Rectum	163 (28)	2,302 (38)
			Unspecified and overlaping	12 (2)	262 (4)
Diagnosis year			Dukes stage		
1998 - 2002 (Pre screening pha	ise)	2,329 (39)	Α^	224 (39)	1,059 (18)
2003 - 2006	5 (1)	1,835 (30)	В	159 (28)	2,182 (36)
2007 - 2010 (Screening phase)	573 (99)	1,865 (31)	С	174 (30)	2,354 (39)
			D	2 (0)	107 (2)
Deprivation Quintile#			Rare and unknown*	19 (3)	327 (5)
Least Deprived <sup>^</sup>	123 (21)	1,116 (19)	Surgery type		
2	138 (24)	1,344 (22)	Minimally Invasive		
Average	135 (23)	1,292 (21)	Polypectomy^	37 (6)	151 (3)
4	86 (15)	1,064 (18)	Laparoscopic	173 (30)	356 (6)
Most Deprived	96 (17)	1,213 (20)	Routine		
			Surgical resection	368 (64)	5,522 (92)
# Income Domain of Indices of Multiple Deprivation (2007)		* Includes carcinoids, sarcomas and tumours with an unknown stage, ^ p<0.001			

**Table 1.** Patient and tumour characteristics by presentation type for CRC diagnosed 1998-2010



### Trends in admission methods and elective surgery



**Figure 1.** Trends in surgery type in patients aged 60-69 at diagnosis

The patient and tumour characteristics of the screen-detected and symptomatic cohorts who underwent elective minimally invasive interventions or polypectomy and included in this study are shown in Table 1. 578 patients (9%) had screendetected CRC and 6,029 (91%) had symptomatic CRC. In 2010, 36% of patients with screen-detected CRC had a minimally invasive procedure compared with 25% of symptomatic patients (Figure 1).

Between 2006 and 2010, polypectomies were performed in 6.4% (n=37/578) of surgically treated patients who presented with screen-detected CRC compared to 3.1% (n=72/2323) in the symptomatic group (p<0.001).

There were also more polypectomies and laparoscopic procedures in the screen-detected group compared to the symptomatic group (40.6% and 22.4% respectively in 2010 [p<0.001]). The increase in polypectomies and laparoscopic procedures observed in both groups coincided with a fall in other elective procedures.

## CONCLUSIONS

Our data show that patients presenting through screening, are more likely to have their CRC treated with a polypectomy or a minimally invasive laparoscopic intervention. In respect to intervention type, minimally invasive procedures have increased over time, with a corresponding reduction in open resections; this change in practice may not however be solely related to the introduction of the bowel screening, but be related to increased training and specialisation by clinicians.

Contact: Tim Evans, Public Health England, tim.evans@phe.gov.uk