

Cancer Outcomes Services Dataset – 25 January 2018

Group Discussions – Workshop, Newmarket

Hospital/Trust	Discussion-Notes
Table A	<p>Clinician Impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What's needed and why for all data items - Linking PAS into Somerset – Other systems - Turn around for results + recording real time - Clinical documents template – Good for small real time items PS. Smoking, Co-mord & Menopause
Table B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tumour LAT/Diagnosis- collected at MDT But disappears after MDT - COSD – Data clerks :More and more info needed but no staffing increase
Table C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pathology Dataset is a problem <p>Path suppliers don't prioritise COSD changes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IT Issue, Trust merging therefore one cancer system is hard - Are Senior Managers aware of the changes? - Are Teams aware of ALL the changes? - Time constraints within MDT already for data collection. - How important do clinicians find this? - Engagement from Exec + Clinical Team - Do we have enough MDTs IPPCs to collect this information - Data collection person in each cancer team - The data collection is too big, would be nice to have a category of importance so Trust know what to prioritise. - HNA is extremely time consuming - Funding for clinical fellow as clinical champion
Table D	<p>Too Big!</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Needs refining/streamlining - Neds to be aligned with all audit to reduce duplication <p>Is everything really required?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Practically can it all be collected accurately? <p>*Haem Fields: Too many data points – Most data not easy to find. Not discussed at MDT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Resources restrictions for data entry/integrated. IT systems, make it difficult
Table E	<p>COSD</p> <p>Is It Too Big – Yes!</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pathology – Out.....Make it a separated entity - Staging too complex (not for administrators - Should be clinical function) - MDT Function – Most MDT's are too long (too many patients) to have the time to complete COSD staging functions - Issues - Actually collecting the data. <p>MDTC Training/recruitment/retentions issues</p> <p>MDTs responsibilities that sit with Band 3, Band 4 and non-clinical staff</p>

Table F	<p><u>Difficult....</u></p> <p>NBOCAP - Colo – Pre-treatment staging COSD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Haem – All Ann Arbor, Binets & Rai Stage – Addesbrooks - IGI – Addies staging - Smoking & Alcohol – needs to be collected in clinic OPA - Sexual Orientation – PMS - Liver Items – Alderbrook’s - HNA – Needs an admin post to support this. <p><u>Info Flex</u> <u>Works well</u></p> <p>Live collection of data @ MDT – Lung, Breast Skin</p> <p><u>Future Changes</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Trust Level mortality/Survival rates - All national datasets within COSD submissions
Table G	<p>Pathology – Data record in text repeat but % recording of coded data is low</p> <p>Separation of pathology v Pathway data is good</p> <p>Integration of COSD/ audit is good.</p> <p>Skip MDT.</p> <p>Diagnosed at GP But discussed at MDT.</p>
	<p>Is it too big? – Yes not possible to collect all data items due to available resources, multiple computer systems.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investment in clinical time, MDT support, Cancer Services & engagement. <p>Imaging & Pathology difficult to collect - Do we go to cost effect of developing interfaces</p> <p>What is clinical – What is non-clinical Data?</p> <p>Size of datasets depends on what it’s being used for.</p>